

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Ling et al.

Serial No: 09/883,848

Filed:

June 18, 2001

For:

Angiogenesis-Modulating

Compositions and Uses

Attorney Docket No. CIBT-P01-119

Art Unit:

C. Yaen

Examiner:

1642

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on the date indicated below:

May 7, 2004

Date of Signature and of Mail Deposit Ginny Blundell

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In reply to the outstanding Restriction Requirement, mailed April 7, 2004, in connection with the above application, Applicants hereby elect with traverse Group II, claims 1-2 and 25-27, drawn to a method of promoting angiogenesis comprising administering an angiogenic amount of a hedgehog agonist. Applicants elect this invention with traverse, because the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, and the invention of Group II, claims 1-2 and 25-27, encompass overlapping subject matter based on methods of promoting angiogenesis. Accordingly, Groups I and II could be examined simultaneously without significant additional burden.

The Restriction Requirement additionally contained a requirement to elect a single agonist of general formula XII. Applicants note, for the record, that the Examiner's requirement that Applicants elect a single structure for prosecution is unduly burdensome, and that this requirement should instead be an election of species. Nevertheless, Applicants elect with traverse the structure presented in Exhibit 1.